

International Encyclopedia of Rehabilitation

Copyright © 2010 by the Center for International Rehabilitation Research Information and Exchange (CIRRIE).

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system without the prior written permission of the publisher, except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976.

Center for International Rehabilitation Research Information and Exchange (CIRRIE)
515 Kimball Tower
University at Buffalo, The State University of New York
Buffalo, NY 14214
E-mail: ub-cirrie@buffalo.edu
Web: <http://cirrie.buffalo.edu>

This publication of the Center for International Rehabilitation Research Information and Exchange is supported by funds received from the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research of the U.S. Department of Education under grant number H133A050008. The opinions contained in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of CIRRIE or the Department of Education.

Socio-Economic Position

Eric Emerson

Professor of Disability & Health Research

Centre for Disability Research, Lancaster University, UK

eric.emerson@lancaster.ac.uk

What is Socio-Economic Position?

All societies are hierarchically structured, with key institutions (e.g., the labour market, education and legal systems) helping to determine an individual's position within a social hierarchy. Their position in this hierarchy shapes their (and their children's) access to and control over key resources (e.g., power, wealth, social connections, health, skills, access to educational, health, and welfare services), resources that play an important role in determining their life experiences, maintaining or improving their position in the social hierarchy and the position of their family (Graham H, 2007). The term socioeconomic position (SEP) refers to the position occupied in a social hierarchy by an individual or family (Socio-economic position should be considered broadly synonymous with the US term socio-economic status (SES) and is closely related to notions of social class.). As such, SEP lies on a continuum or gradient running from high to low. SEP is not, however, an inherent property of individuals or families. Rather it is the result of the interaction between the impact of powerful social institutions in stratifying the social order and people's active involvement in recreating and maintaining the social hierarchy through cultural and social practices (Graham H, 2007).

People occupying lower socioeconomic positions may have difficulty accessing resources that are necessary to enable them to live lives that are considered appropriate or decent within their society. That is, they may experience poverty (Lister R, 2004; Spicker P, 2007). Following the classic Townsend approach to defining relative poverty (Townsend P, 1979), the term poverty as used in this chapter refers to the situation of individuals or families who are unable "due to lack of resources, to participate in society and to enjoy a standard of living consistent with human dignity and social decency" (Fabian Commission on Life Chances and Child Poverty, 2006). Although poverty can be categorized as a simple construct of either poor or not poor, clearly there are degrees of poverty. At its most extreme, poverty may involve such a level of deprivation of resources that health or life itself is significantly threatened (a situation often referred to as "absolute" poverty).

Socioeconomic position and poverty both describe key aspects of the social positioning of people (or families) in a particular society at a particular point in time. They are culturally-specific and dynamic constructs. Societies vary considerably in the extent of inequality associated with social hierarchies, the nature and operation of institutions and cultural practices that maintain social hierarchies and the potential for upward and downward mobility in hierarchies (Wilkinson RG and Pickett KE, 2009; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2008). Societies change over time, as do the key social institutions that help create and maintain social stratification.

What is the Association between Disability and Socio-Economic Position?

There are strong social gradients, across the lifecourse, in the prevalence of health conditions and impairments associated with disability. Generally, the higher one's position in the social hierarchy (or SEP), the less likely that person is to be or become disabled (Elwan A, 1999; Ingstad B and Eide A, in press).

- Among children and adolescents there are clear social gradients in the distribution of most (but not all) intellectual, mental, sensory and physical impairments and health conditions associated with disability (Parish SL et al., 2008; Emerson E and Hatton C, 2007; Emerson E et al., 2006; Leonard H et al., 2005; Leonard H and Wen X, 2002; Heikura U et al., 2008; Yang J et al., 2007; Newacheck PW and Halfon N, 1998; Emerson E et al., 2009; Dolk H, 2001; Varela M et al., 2009).
- Among working age adults there is strong evidence that the overall prevalence of disability is associated with lifetime socio-economic circumstances, and that these also predict the *onset* of functional impairments in adulthood (Breeze E and Lang IA, 2008; Melchior M et al., 2006; Brault MW, 2008; Harkonmaki K et al., 2007).
- Among older people, there are strong associations between wealth, the onset of physical impairments and the rate of decline in physical ability over time (Breeze E and Lang IA, 2008; Guralnik JM, 2006; McMunn AM et al., 2009; Ebrahim S, 2004; Lang IA, 2008; Minkler M et al., 2006). For example, a recent study in the UK reported that 8% of non-disabled participants in the most wealthy quintile compared to 18% of non-disabled participants in the least wealthy quintile developed difficulties in activities of daily living over a four year period (Breeze E and Lang IA, 2008).

These gradients (or social patterning of the prevalence of disability) are likely to result from a combination of factors that vary in their significance across the lifecourse.

- In young children, exposure to adversity and associated material and psychosocial hazards (e.g., inadequate nutrition, environmental toxins and teratogens, less than optimal parenting, accidental and non-accidental injury) prenatally and in the early years will increase the incidence of health conditions and impairments associated with disability (Elwan A, 1999; Hertzman C and Boyce T, 2010; Irwin LG et al., 2007; Keating DP and Hertzman C, 1999; Laplante DP et al., 2008; Bergman K et al., 2007; Shonkoff JP et al., 2009; Grantham-McGregor S et al., 2007; World Health Organization and UNICEF, 2008; Evans GW, 2006).
- In later childhood, these social gradients may also reflect the impact of the child's disability on family SEP as a result of the direct and indirect costs associated with care (Elwan A, 1999; Tibble M, 2005). However, it appears that in high-income countries it is likely that these effects are small as: (1) there is little, if any,

- In adulthood, downward social mobility resulting from the exclusion of disabled people from the labour market (see below) or the social and economic costs associated with associated health conditions is likely to play an important role in exacerbating existing social gradients (Elwan A, 1999; Ingstad B and Eide A, in press). It should be noted, however, that the *onset* of health conditions or impairments associated with disability in mid and later life continue to show strong social gradients (Breeze E and Lang IA, 2008; McMunn AM et al., 2009).

Indeed, there is considerable evidence to suggest that disabled people are disadvantaged with regard to key factors that promote upward social mobility (and defend against downward social mobility). These include early childhood experiences, education, employment and labour market experiences, social and cultural capital, health and well-being (Graham H, 2007; Ingstad B and Eide A, in press; Nunn A et al., 2007).

- Early childhood experiences are important determinants of later life chances (Graham H, 2007; Fabian Commission on Life Chances and Child Poverty, 2006; Hertzman C and Boyce T, 2010; Irwin LG et al., 2007; Shonkoff JP et al., 2009; Nunn A et al., 2007). Young children with intellectual or physical impairments are more likely than their peers to be exposed to aspects of socio-economic adversity that constrain life chances (Yang J et al., 2007; Emerson E et al., 2009).
- Education has been identified as one of the most important factors influencing social mobility (Graham H, 2007; Nunn A et al., 2007). Disabled children are less likely to attend school and, if they do, have more unauthorised school absences, are more likely to be bullied and to have poorer academic attainment than their peers (Elwan A, 1999; Ingstad B and Eide A, in press; Burchardt T, 2005; Williams B et al., 2008). Disabled children in some countries are also at risk of placement in segregated special schools, including residential special schools, settings that may significantly impede children's social inclusion (Audit Commission, 2007; UNESCO, 1994).
- Employment and labour market experiences. Disabled adults have significantly reduced employment opportunities (Elwan A, 1999; Ingstad B and Eide A, in press; Burchardt T, 2005; Williams B et al., 2008; Berthoud R, 2006; Maughan B et al., 1999; Rigg J, 2005; Emerson E et al., 2005; Grewal I et al., 2002; Office for National Statistics, 2002). In the UK, for example, rates of full-time employment

- Social and cultural capital. Socioeconomically more advantaged families tend to have access to a wider range of social networks and cultural capital that facilitate upward mobility and protect against downward mobility. Disabled people, as well as experiencing socio-economic disadvantage, also tend to have more restricted social capital, partly as a result of prejudicial and discriminatory practices (Williams B et al., 2008; Emerson E et al., 2005; Grewal I et al., 2002; Shakepeare T, 2006).
- Health and wellbeing. Ill health can lead to a decline in socio-economic status (Graham H, 2007; Nunn A et al., 2007). There is extensive evidence that people with disabilities experience significantly poorer health outcomes than their non-disabled peers, including in aspects of health that are unrelated to their specific health conditions or impairments.

Disability is also associated with reduced social mobility of family carers. Childhood disability is associated with delayed entry of mothers into the workforce and increased rates of parental separation, factors that are likely to impede the social mobility of the families supporting disabled children (Porterfield SL, 2002; Risdal D and Singer GH, 2004; Seltzer MM et al., 2001; Parish SL et al., 2004; Clarke H and McKay S, 2008; Loprest P and Davidoff A, 2004). Caring for a disabled adult has been linked to reduced employment opportunities and reduced income (Nunn A et al., 2007; Pickard L, 2004; Parker G and Lawton D, 1994; Young H et al., 2006).

Why is Socio-Economic Position Important in Understanding the Life Experiences of Disabled People?

The association between disability and poverty has been described as a ‘vicious circle’, with poverty leading to disability, and disability to poverty (Yeo R and Moore K, 2003). Poverty is central to understanding the life experiences of many disabled people.

First, it is clear that disabled children and adults are at increased risk of exposure to low SEP and poverty, social conditions that, in general, are associated with reduced social mobility, increased social exclusion and poorer health (Graham H, 2007; Fabian Commission on Life Chances and Child Poverty, 2006; Nunn A et al., 2007; Hills J et al., 2002; World Health Organisation, 2008). As such, they make up a disproportionate proportion of populations who may be generally considered to be ‘at risk’ or who have been made vulnerable to a range of adverse outcomes. This simple observation raises the rather obvious point that some of the adverse life experiences faced by disabled people

may simply reflect their status as poor people (rather than being the result of disability *per se*). Indeed, several recent studies have attempted to estimate the extent to which the poorer health outcomes experienced by disabled people may be attributable to their increased risk of exposure to socio-economic disadvantage (rather than any disability specific factors). The results of this small literature suggest that increased risk of exposure to socio-economic disadvantage among disabled people may account for:

- 20-50% of the risk of poorer mental and physical health among children with intellectual disabilities (Emerson E and Einfeld S, under review; Emerson E and Hatton C, 2007b; Emerson E Hatton C. 2007a; Emerson E Hatton C. 2007c);
- Most or all of the risk for low psychological well-being among disabled adolescents and young adults (Emerson E et al., under review a).
- Most or all of the risk of poorer mental health and low rates of well-being among mothers of children with intellectual disabilities or developmental delay (Emerson E et al., 2010; Emerson E et al., 2006).

Other studies also point to the importance of social conditions for understanding the association between disability and health. For example, reduced psychological well-being among young disabled people may only be evident under conditions of either social exclusion or economic adversity (Emerson E et al., under review b; Honey A et al., in press). Similarly, in later life higher income appears to buffer the psychological impact of becoming disabled (Smith DM et al., 2005).

Thus, poorer socio-economic circumstances appear to both increase the risk of impairments *and* exacerbate their impact. Put another way, poorer circumstances may have a greater impact on the well-being of people with disability than it does on their non-disabled peers.

Second, it is possible that SEP may interact with disability-specific discrimination to further jeopardize the health and well-being of disabled people. Disabled children and adults are at risk of experiencing discrimination associated with their disability (disablism) (Williams B et al., 2008; Emerson E et al., 2005; Grewal I et al., 2002; Shakespeare T, 2006; Thomas C, 2007; Oliver M and Barnes C, 1998). The impact of disability discrimination on health and well-being is likely to be mediated by multiple processes.

- First, the existence of systemic disability discrimination in the operation of key social institutions may prevent disabled people gaining access to timely, appropriate and effective education, health and social care (Michael J, 2008; Disability Rights Commission, 2006).
- Second, discriminatory systems and practices contribute to the social exclusion of disabled people (see above). As a result, disabled people are more likely than their peers to be exposed to living conditions (poverty, unemployment, social adversity, low control, low status, poor housing) associated with poor health outcomes (Graham H, 2007; Fabian Commission on Life Chances and Child

- Finally, the direct and indirect experience of disablism and disability discrimination may be expected to have a negative impact on the person's mental and physical health (Emerson E, under review). Equivalent processes (the experience of racism and racial discrimination) have been identified as central to understanding ethnic inequalities in health (Nazroo J, 2003).

Given the impact of inequality inter-group relations (Wilkinson RG and Pickett KE, 2009), it is possible, that both systemic discriminatory practices and overt disablist acts are more likely to be experienced by disabled people with lower SEP, especially in more unequal societies.

References

- Audit Commission. 2007. Out of authority placements for special educational needs. London: Audit Commission.
- Beresford B, Rhodes D. 2008. Housing and Disabled Children. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- Bergman K, Sarkar P, O'Connor TG, Modi N, Glover V. 2007. Maternal stress during pregnancy predicts cognitive ability and fearfulness in infancy. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 46:1454–1463.
- Berthoud R. 2006. Employment rates of disabled people. London: Department for Work and Pensions.
- Brault MW. 2008. Americans with Disabilities 2005. Washington (DC): US Census Bureau.
- Breeze E, Lang IA. 2008. Physical functioning in a community context. In: *Living in the 21st century: older people in England*. In: J Banks, E Breeze, C Lessof, J Nazroo, editors. London: Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- Burchardt T. 2000. *Enduring Economic Exclusion: disabled people, income and work*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- Burchardt T. 2003. *Being and becoming: Social exclusion and the onset of disability*. CASereport 21. London: Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion, London School of Economics.
- Burchardt T. 2005. *The education and employment of disabled young people: Frustrated ambition*. Bristol/York: Policy Press/Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

- Chen E, Martin AD, Matthews KA. 2006. Socioeconomic status and health: Do gradients differ within childhood and adolescence? *Social Science & Medicine* 62:2161-2170.
- Clarke H, McKay S. 2008. Exploring Disability, Family Formation and Break-Up: Reviewing the evidence. Sheffield: Department of Work and Pensions.
- Disability Rights Commission. 2006. Equal Treatment - Closing the Gap. London Disability Rights Commission.
- Dolk H. 2001. Cerebral palsy, low birthweight and socio-economic deprivation: inequalities in a major cause of childhood disability. *Paediatric And Perinatal Epidemiology* 15(4):359-363.
- Ebrahim S. 2004. Social inequalities and disability in older men: prospective findings from the British regional heart study. *Social Science & Medicine* (1982) 59(10):2109-2120.
- Elwan A. 1999. Poverty and Disability: A survey of the literature. Washington (DC): World Bank.
- Emerson E, Einfeld S. under review. Emotional and behavioural difficulties in young children with and without developmental delay: A bi-national perspective.
- Emerson E, Graham H, Hatton C. 2006. Household income and health status in children and adolescents: cross sectional study. *European Journal of Public Health* 16:354-360.
- Emerson E, Graham H, McCulloch A, Blacher J, Hatton C, Llewellyn G. 2009. The social context of parenting three year old children with developmental delay in the UK. *Child: Care, Health & Development* 35(1):63-70.
- Emerson E, Hatton C, Blacher J, Llewellyn G, Graham H. 2006. Socio-economic position, household composition, health status and indicators of the well-being of mothers of children with and without intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research* 50(12):862-873.
- Emerson E, Hatton C. 2007a. Poverty, socio-economic position, social capital and the health of children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities in Britain: a replication. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research* 51(11):866-874.
- Emerson E, Hatton C. 2007b. The contribution of socio-economic position to the health inequalities faced by children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities in Britain. *American Journal on Mental Retardation* 112(2):140-150.
- Emerson E, Hatton C. 2007c. The mental health of children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities in Britain. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 191:493-499.

- Emerson E, Hatton C. 2007d. The socio-economic circumstances of children at risk of disability in Britain. *Disability and Society* 22(6):563-580.
- Emerson E, Honey A, Llewellyn G, Kariuki M. under review a. Social context and the subjective well-being of Australian adolescents and young adults with self-reported disability.
- Emerson E, Honey A, Madden R, Llewellyn G. under review b. The Well-Being of Australian Adolescents and Young Adults with Self-Reported Long-Term Health Conditions, Impairments or Disabilities: 2001 and 2006 *Australian Journal of Social Issues*.
- Emerson E, Malam S, Davies I, Spencer K. 2005. Adults with Learning Difficulties in England 2003/4. Leeds: Health & Social Care Information Centre.
- Emerson E, McCulloch A, Graham H, Blacher J, Llewellyn G, Hatton C. 2010. The mental health of parents of young children with and without developmental delays *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disability* 115:30-42.
- Emerson E. under review. Self-reported exposure to disablism is associated with poorer self-reported health and well-being among adults with intellectual disabilities in England: Cross sectional survey. *Public Health*.
- Evans GW. 2006. Child development and the physical environment. *Annual Review of Psychology* 57:423-451.
- Fabian Commission on Life Chances and Child Poverty. 2006. *Narrowing the Gap: The Final Report of the Fabian Commission on Life Chances and Child Poverty*. London: Fabian Society.
- Graham H. 2007. *Unequal Lives: Health and Socioeconomic Inequalities*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, Glewe P, Richter L, Strupp B, International Child Development Steering Group. 2007. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* 369:60-70.
- Grewal I, Joy S, Lewis J, Swales K, Woodfield K. 2002. “‘Disabled for Life’?” attitudes towards, and experiences of, disability in Britain. London: DWP Research Report No. 148.
- Guralnik JM. 2006. Childhood Socioeconomic Status Predicts Physical Functioning a Half Century Later. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 61A(7):694-701.
- Hanson MD, Chen E. 2007. Socioeconomic status and health behaviors in adolescence: A review of the literature. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 30:263-285.

- Harkonmaki K, Korkeila K, Vahtera J, Kivimake M, Suominen S. 2007. Childhood adversities as a predictor of disability retirement. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 61:479–484.
- Heikura U, Taanila A, Hartikainen A-L. 2008. Variations in prenatal sociodemographic factors associated with intellectual disability: A study of the 20-Year interval between two birth cohorts in Northern Finland. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 167:169-177.
- Hertzman C, Boyce T. 2010. How experience gets under the skin to create gradients in developmental health. *Annual Review of Public Health* 31:329-347.
- Hills J, Le Grand J, Piachaud D, editors. 2002. *Understanding Social Exclusion*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Honey A, Emerson E, Llewellyn G. in press. The mental health of young people with disabilities: Association with social conditions. *Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology*.
- Ingstad B, Eide A, editors. in press. *Disability and Poverty*. Bristol: Policy Press.
- Irwin LG, Siddiqi A, Hertzman C. 2007. *Early Child Development: A Powerful Equalizer*. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- Jenkins SP, Rigg JA. 2004. Disability and disadvantage: selection, onset and duration effects. *Journal of Social Policy* 33(3):479–501.
- Keating DP, Hertzman C, editors. 1999. *Developmental health and the wealth of nations: social, biological and educational dynamics*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Lang IA. 2008. Neighbourhood deprivation and incident mobility disability in older adults. *Age & Ageing* 37(4):403-410.
- Laplante DP, Brunet A, Schmitz N, Ciampi A, King S. 2008. Project Ice Storm: Prenatal Maternal Stress Affects Cognitive and Linguistic Functioning in 51/2-Year-Old Children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 47(9):1063-1072.
- Leonard H, Petterson B, De Klerk N, Zubrick SR, Glasson E, Sanders R, Bower C. 2005. Association of sociodemographic characteristics of children with intellectual disability in Western Australia. *Social Science & Medicine* 60:1499-1513.
- Leonard H, Wen X. 2002. The epidemiology of mental retardation: challenges and opportunities in the new millennium. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews* 8:117-134.
- Lister R. 2004. *Poverty*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

- Loprest P, Davidoff A. 2004. How children with special health care needs affect the employment decisions of low-income parents. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 8:171-182.
- Maughan B, Collishaw S, Pickles A. 1999. Mild mental retardation: psychosocial functioning in adulthood. *Psychological Medicine* 29:351-366.
- McMunn AM, Nazroo J, Breeze E. 2009. Inequalities in health at older ages: a longitudinal investigation of the onset of illness and survival effects in England. *Age and Ageing* 38:181-187.
- Melchior M, Lert F, Martin M, Ville I. 2006. Socioeconomic position in childhood and in adulthood and functional limitations in midlife: Data from a nationally-representative survey of French men and women. *Social Science & Medicine* 63:2813-2824.
- Michael J. 2008. *Healthcare for All: Report of the Independent Inquiry into Access to Healthcare for People with Learning Disabilities*. London: Independent Inquiry into Access to Healthcare for People with Learning Disabilities.
- Minkler M, Fuller-Thomson E, Guralnik JM. 2006. Gradient of disability across the socioeconomic spectrum in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine* 355:695-703.
- Nazroo J. 2003. The structuring of ethnic inequalities in health: Economic position, racial discrimination and racism. *American Journal of Public Health* 93(2):277-284.
- Newacheck PW, Halfon N. 1998. Prevalence and impact of disabling chronic conditions in childhood. *American Journal of Public Health* 88(4):610-617.
- Nunn A, Johnson S, Monro S, Bickerstaffe T, Kelsey S. 2007. *Factors influencing social mobility*. London: DWP.
- Office for Disability Issues. 2008. *Annual Report Annexe Annex one: Indicators data*. London: Office for Disability Issues.
- Office for National Statistics. 2002. *Labour Market Experiences of People with Disabilities*. London: Office for National Statistics.
- Oliver M, Barnes C. 1998. *Disabled people and social policy: from exclusion to inclusion*. London: Longman.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2008. *Growing Unequal? Income Distribution and Poverty in OECD Countries*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Palmer G, MacInnes T, Kenway P. 2008. *Monitoring poverty and social exclusion 2008*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

- Parish SL, Rose RA, Andrews ME, Grinstein-Weiss M, Richman EL. 2008. Material hardship in US families raising children with disabilities. *Exceptional Children* 75(1):71-92.
- Parish SL, Seltzer MM, Greenburg JS, Floyd F. 2004. Economic implications of caregiving at midlife: Comparing parents with and without children who have developmental disabilities. *Mental Retardation* 42(6):413-426.
- Parker G, Lawton D. 1994. Different types of care, different types of carer. Evidence from the General Household Survey. London: HMSO.
- Pickard L. 2004. Caring for older people and employment. Wetherby: Audit Commission.
- Porterfield SL. 2002. Work choices of mothers in families with children with disabilities. *Journal of Marriage & Family* 64:972-981.
- Rigg J. 2005. Labour market disadvantage amongst disabled people: a longitudinal perspective. London: Centre for the Analysis of Social Exclusion, London School of Economics.
- Risdal D, Singer GH. 2004. Marital adjustment in parents of children with disabilities: A historical review and meta-analysis. *Research & Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities* 29(2):95-103.
- Seltzer MM, Greenberg JS, Floyd FJ, Pettie Y, Hong J. 2001. Life course impacts of parenting a child with a disability. *American Journal on Mental Retardation* 106:265-286.
- Shahtahmasebi S, Emerson E, Berridge D, Lancaster G. under review. Child disability and the dynamics of family poverty, hardship and financial strain.
- Shakespeare T. 2006. Disability rights and wrongs. Routledge: London.
- Shonkoff JP, Boyce WT, McEwen BS. 2009. Neuroscience, molecular biology, and the childhood roots of health disparities: Building a new framework for health promotion and disease prevention. *JAMA* 301:2252-2259.
- Smith DM, Langa KM, Kabeto MU, Ubel PA. 2005. Health, Wealth, and Happiness: Financial Resources Buffer Subjective Well-Being After the Onset of a Disability. *Psychological Science* 19(9):663-666.
- Spencer NJ. 2006. Social equalization in youth: evidence from a cross-sectional British survey *European Journal of Public Health* 16:368-375.
- Spicker P. 2007. The Idea of Poverty. Bristol: Policy Press.

- Thomas C. 2007. *Sociologies of Disability and Illness. Contested Ideas in Disability Studies and Medical Sociology*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tibble M. 2005. Review of existing research on the extra costs of disability. London: Department of Work and Pensions.
- Townsend P. 1979. *Poverty in the United Kingdom*. London: Allen Lane and Penguin.
- UNESCO. 1994. *The Salamanca statement and framework for action on special needs education*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Varela M, Nohr E, Llopis-Gonzalez A, Andersen AN, Olsen J. 2009. Socio-occupational status and congenital anomalies. *European Journal of Public Health* 19(2):161-167.
- Wilkinson RG, Pickett KE. 2009. *The Spirit Level: Why More Equal Societies Almost Always Do Better*. London: Penguin.
- Williams B, Copestake P, Eversley J, Stafford B. 2008. *Experiences and Expectations of Disabled People*. London: Office for Disability Issues.
- World Health Organisation. 2008. *Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Final report of the Commission on the Social Determinants of Health*. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- World Health Organization, UNICEF. 2008. *World report on child injury prevention*. Geneva: World Health Organization & UNICEF.
- Yang J, Carmichael SL, Canfield M, Song J, Shaw GM. 2007. National Birth Defects Prevention Study: Socioeconomic status in relation to selected birth defects in a large multicentered US case-control study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 167(2):145-154.
- Yeo R, Moore K. 2003. Including disabled people in poverty reduction work: 'Nothing about us, without us'. *World Development* 31:571-590.
- Young H, Grundy E, Jitlal M. 2006. *Care providers, care receivers: A longitudinal perspective*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.